

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
05/09/2001	Normand Brisson	ODDY 001	6912	
02/18/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		COLLINS, CYNTHIA E		
n Davis Highway ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2202	•	1638		
ı	02/18/2004 vis Highway	02/18/2004 vis Highway	02/18/2004 EXAM COLLINS, C	

DATE MAILED: 02/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

C. H. S. C. S.	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Acti n	09/851,084	BRISSON ET AL.		
navicery near in	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Cynthia Collins	1638		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 29 December 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a ition in	
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 19 (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI fextension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	•	see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•			
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without cancell	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.	
NOTE:				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment	
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>See</u>		dered but does NO	T place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6 and 27-33</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 7-26.				
8. $\square$ The drawing correction filed on is a) $\square$ appr	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·		
10. Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/851,084

Art Unit: 1638

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the specification does not describe or enable a genus of interacting protein partners that encompasses fragments obtained from any and all enzymes wherein said fragments exhibit a detectable enzymatic activity when expressed in plant material.

DAVID T. FOX
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 180-----/

MARY EXAMINER GROUP 1<del>80</del> 1638

Page 2

100